Application Serial No.: 10/601,881

Applicant(s): Long et al.

Docket No.: N.C. 84,353

REMARKS and INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Claims 1-12 are pending in this application. Claims 1-12 have been rejected.

Claims 1, 5, 7, and 11 are currently amended.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 2 3 2006

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner, Karie O'Neill, and the Examiner's Supervisor, Pat Ryan, for the courtesy of their time and comments during the phone conversations with the Applicants' representative, Steve Hunnius, and during the personal interview with Applicants' representative and one of the inventors, Jeffrey Long.

Rejection under 35 USC 103

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-5 and 7-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leventis et al. (US 5,282,955) in view of Sugnaux et al. (US 2004/0131934 A1). The Examiner states that Leventis discloses an electrode made of an electrically conductive metal oxide and being coated with an electrically conductive polymer, wherein the polymer coating is conformal and based on an arylamine polymer, specifically being aniline and polyaniline, and being electrodeposited on the electrode.

The Examiner then states that Leventis does not disclose the electrode being a nanostructured, mesoporous metal oxide, wherein said metal oxide is selected from the said group. The Examiner continues by stating that Sugnaux discloses an electrode active material that exhibits mesoporous porosity and wherein the electrode active material comprises discrete solid connecting particles.

The Examiner concludes by stating that it would have been obvious to use the nanostructured, mesoporous metal oxide electrodes of Sugnaux in conjunction with the polymer

08/23/2006 15:26 2024047380 NRL CODE 1008 PATENT PAGE 06/11

Docket No.: N.C. 84,353

Application Serial No.: 10/601,881

Applicant(s): Long et al.

coating of Leventis for the purpose of forming electrodes with a large specific surface area for

use in batteries, photovoltaic cells, supercapacitors and fast electrochromic devices.

As discussed during the interview, Applicants respectfully submit the following traversal.

The current application concerns a material comprising three-dimensional bicontinuous networks

of solid and pores, where the pores are interconnected throughout the nanostructure. This

physical arrangement of pore and solid is distinct from a simple nanostructured mesoporous

material as described in the Sugnaux reference wherein the Sugnaux concerns a simple collection

of nanostructures and pores that do not contain an interpenetrating connectivity of pores.

Applicants respectfully submit that the present application concerns a nanostructured

electrically conductive metal oxide interpenetrated by a continuous mesoporous network and an

ultrathin, conformal polymer coating on the metal oxide. The present invention provides for a

nanostructured electrically conductive metal oxide wherein there exists connectivity of the pore

network even upon deposition of an ultrathin, conformal polymer coating on the metal oxide

network.

As noted by the Examiner, the Leventis reference does not describe a mesoporous metal

oxide. The Examiner then states that Sugnaux discloses a material that exhibits mesoporous

porosity and comprises discrete solid connecting particles.

The current application involves the versatility of electrically conductive acrogels,

ambigels, acrogels and related structures. Furthermore, the current application involves the

continuous mesoporosity of the metal oxide nanoarchitecture. (paragraph [0007] page 3 and

paragraph [0012] page 5 of application as originally filed) Applicants respectfully submit that

the continuous mesoporosity is distinct from Sugnaux which uses discrete particles "that form a

5

PAGE 6/11 * RCVD AT 8/23/2006 3:26:51 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:2024047380 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-50

08/23/2006 15:26 2024047380 NRL CODE 1008 PATENT PAGE 07/11

Docket No.: N.C. 84,353

Application Serial No.: 10/601,881

Applicant(s): Long et al.

mesoporous network layer." (paragraph [0001] of Sugnaux) Not only does the current

application concern a bicontinuous, interpenetrating mesoporosity with connectivity of the pore

network that is different from the Sugnaux network that is formed from discrete particles, but

also the Sugnaux concerns only a "layer." (paragraph [0001] of Sugnaux) However, the

current application concerns a three-dimensional bicontinuous interpenetrating porous network,

not just a layer. In other words, the reference may contain citations to porosity but the reference

does not have and does not teach and cannot achieve the interpenetrating porosity of the present

invention.

As such and as discussed, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration as to, and

removal of, the rejection of claims 1-12.

The Examiner states that, with respect to Claims 6 and 11, Leventis discloses the

electrode of the Claims I and 7, but does not disclose the polymer coating of the electrode

wherein said polymer coating is less than 10-nm thick. The Examiner then states that it would

have been obvious to use a polymer layer of less than 10-nm because the thinner the polymer

layer the smaller and more desirable the device is and that it is routine to discover an optimum

value.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the suggestion that if evidence is provided that

unexpected results can be reached by using a polymer layer of less than 10-nm, the rejection will

be withdrawn.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that the current invention concern a three-

dimensional architecture having a bicontinuous, interpenetrating mesoporosity with connectivity

of the pore network. As such, Applicants respectfully that this is distinct from the references

6

PAGE 7/11 * RCVD AT 8/23/2006 3:26:51 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/30 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:2024047380 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-50

Docket No.: N.C. 84,353

Application Serial No.: 10/601,881

Applicant(s): Long et al.

cited and therefore it was not obvious to use a polymer coating. Furthermore, as the references concern a different structure, it was not known or suggested what thickness of a polymer coating, if any, would work with the current invention. Therefore, obtaining the claimed thickness cannot be said to have been routine.

As discussed during the interview, Applicants agreed to amend the claims to remove the confusing language "inherent."

Furthermore as discussed, Applicants agreed to clarify the language of claim 1 and 7 by adding the language that the metal oxide retains the mesoporous network.

Finally as discussed, Applicants agreed to and have attached hereto the visual aids that were presented during the interview.